Natural Product Synthesis

DOI: 10.1002/ange.201106205

Enantioselective Total Syntheses of Communesins A and B**

Zhiwei Zuo and Dawei Ma*

The polycyclic, tryptamine-derived indole alkaloids communesins A and B (1 and 2, respectively) were first isolated by Numata and co-workers in 1993 from a marine fungus of the Penicilliun genus.[1] Their spectroscopically established structures include two contiguous quaternary centers and two fused bicyclic aminals. Communesins A and B both demonstrated potent inhibition of murine lymphocytic leukemia tumor cell (P-388) proliferation in preliminary studies, with ED₅₀ values (50% effective doses) of 3.5 and 0.45 μ g mL⁻¹, respectively. In the following years, six other members of this family, namely communesins C-H (3-8) have been isolated from different marine sources, [2] with most having shown significant antileukemic and insecticidal activities. The remarkable biological properties and fascinating structures of these molecules have stimulated considerable interest in the synthetic community.[3-8] In the past few years, numerous elegant methods for assembling their core structures^[4] and three total syntheses of communesin F have been disclosed.[5-7]

However, to date, none of the epoxide-containing family members have been synthesized, likely owing to the sensitivity of communesin F to oxidative conditions. Indeed, we have previously attempted to convert communes in F and its synthetic intermediates directly into communesin A, but all attempts were unsuccessful. We speculated that the problem is a result of the sensitivity of the aminal nitrogen atoms to oxidants and therefore decided to mask the epoxide as an acylation of amine 9 and a subsequent late-stage, basemediated epoxide synthesis (Scheme 1). Amine 9 could then

We envisioned assembling the target molecules through

oxidation-state-equivalent ketal early on in the synthesis.

Obviously, this modification would force us to significantly

alter our synthetic strategy. Herein, we disclose our results.

Scheme 1. Retrosynthetic analysis of communesins A and B. TBS = tert-butyldimethylsilyl, Ts = toluene-p-sulfonyl.

be obtained from lactam 10 through alkylation of the amide and subsequent reductive amination. We anticipated forming the hexacyclic intermediate 10 by reductive cyclization of imine 11, which could be generated through oxidative coupling of dianion 12 generated from amide 13.[9] Although a related oxidative coupling reaction had been successfully applied to form a spiro-fused indoline during our total synthesis of communesin F,[7] this disconnection remained daunting. Whereas the coupling in our communesin F synthesis employed a linear amide to form a single six-membered ring, the coupling in this case would require the formation of a new [3.2.2] bicyclic system with the amide nitrogen at the bridgehead, thereby breaking the nitrogen-to-carbonyl conjugation. Furthermore, in our communesin F synthesis, we had depended upon a chiral auxiliary to control the diastereoselectivity of the cyclization; it was not clear if the azepine substituent would be able to control the stereochemistry in this case. This attempt would truly test the flexibility of our oxidative coupling strategy for assembling spiro-fused indolines. The requisite amide 13 could be derived from

State Key Laboratory of Bioorganic & Natural Products Chemistry Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of

345 Lingling Lu, Shanghai 200032 (China) E-mail: madw@mail.sioc.ac.cn

[**] We are grateful to the National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program, grant 2010CB833200), Chinese Academy of Sciences, and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant 21132008 & 20921091) for their financial support.



Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201106205.

^[*] Z. Zuo, Prof. D. Ma

aurantioclavine analogue **14**, which in turn could be prepared from olefin **15** with a Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation as a key step.

Our synthesis started with the preparation of aurantioclavine analogue **14** (Scheme 2). Guided by studies on the total synthesis of aurantioclavine,^[10] we employed a Mitsu-

Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: a) TBSCl, Et₃N; b) TsCl, aq NaOH, nBu₄NBr, CH₂Cl₂; c) 3-methyl-3-hydroxybut-1-ene, Pd(OAc)₂, P(o-Tol)₃, nBu₄NBr, K₂CO₃, DMF; d) AD-mix-β, CH₃SO₂NH₂, tBuOH, H₂O, 96% ee; e) SOCl₂, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, 0°C; f) NaN₃, nBu₄NBr, DMF, 90°C; g) p-TsOH, DMP; h) LAH, THF; i) o-NsCl, Et₃N, DMAP, CH₂Cl₂; j) TBAF, MeOH; k) P(nBu)₃, DEAD, THF; l) thioglycolic acid, LiOH-H₂O, DMF; m) Mg, MeOH, sonication. AD-mix-β= K₂OsO₂(OH)₄, K₃Fe(CN)₆, hydroquinidine 1,4-phthalazinediyl diether; DEAD = diethyl azodicarboxylate; DMAP = 4-dimethylaminopyridine; DMP = 2,2-dimethoxypropane; LAH = lithium aluminum hydride; o-NsCl = 2-nitrobenzenesulfonic chloride; TBAF = tetrabutylammonium fluoride.

nobu reaction^[11] to form the azepine moiety of **14**.^[10a] Accordingly, silylation of alcohol **16** and subsequent tosylation provided **17**, which was subjected to a Heck reaction to afford allyl alcohol **15**. Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation^[12] of **15** with AD-mix- β worked well, delivering the desired triol **18** in 94% yield and 96% *ee*. After treatment of **18** with thionyl chloride to form a cyclic sulfite, regioselective nucleophilic replacement with sodium azide was carried out to obtain azide **19**. Protection of the diol in **19** with DMP led to the formation of ketal **20**, which was further reduced with LAH, and treated with 2-nitrobenzenesulfonic chloride to

give sulfonamide 21.^[13] After cleavage of the silyl ether in 21 with TBAF, the liberated alcohol was exposed to DEAD and tri-*n*-butylphosphine to provide a cyclized product,^[11] which was hydrolyzed to deliver azepine 22. Desulfonation of 22 using magnesium and methanol furnished 14 with 92 % yield.

Condensation of azepine 14 with 2-(2-nitrophenyl)acetic acid under the assistance of BOPCl gave rise to amide 13 (Scheme 3). With this intermediate in hand, we investigated the key oxidative coupling reaction. Initially, we attempted

Scheme 3. Reagents and conditions: a) 2-(2-nitrophenyl)acetic acid, BOPCl, Et_3N , CH_2Cl_2 ; b) LiHMDS, then iodine, THF, $-78\,^{\circ}C$; c) Raney-Ni, H_2 , MeOH; d) KHMDS then Mel; e) 37% HCHO, NaBH(OAc)₃. BOPCl = bis (2-oxo-3-oxazolidinyl)phosphinic chloride, LiHMDS = lithium hexamethyldisilazanide, b.r.s.m. = based on recovered starting material.

this reaction under our previous conditions (LiHMDS, THF, -78°C, then I₂, -78°C to RT), and found that only the iodination product 23 was produced as a diastereomeric mixture. However, when iodine was added at room temperature, we isolated the desired spiro-fused, twisted-amidecontaining indoline 11 (73% yield based on 11% recovery of 13) as a single isomer. No further cyclization of 23 occurred, thus indicating that the formation of 11 did not involve an S_N 2 reaction. Next, reduction of the nitro group in 11 by Raney-Ni-catalyzed hydrogenation and the subsequent spontaneous attack of the resultant amine at the imine moiety afforded hexacyclic intermediate 10. Selective methylation at N15 to provide the desired intermediate 24 was achieved by treatment of 10 with KHMDS and iodomethane. Notably, when 10 was subjected to reductive amination with formaldehyde, dimethylated product 25 was isolated. X-ray structural analysis of 25 confirmed that the newly created stereocenters possessed the requisite configuration for synthesizing the target molecules.[14]

12215

Zuschriften

Scheme 4. Possible stereochemical course for conversion of amide 13 into spiro-fused indoline 11.

The stereochemical outcome during the formation of 11 could be rationalized by a proposed transition-state model (Scheme 4). After treatment of 13 with LiHMDS and subsequent oxidation with iodine, the diradical transition state A might form, in which the rigid conformation was strengthened by the favorable stacking interaction between the electron-deficient arene and indole moieties, and the diradical combined from the opposite site of the bulky 1,3-dioxolane group to give 11 as a single isomer.

After we established the hexacyclic system of communesins A and B, the stage was set for introducing the A ring. In previous protocols for synthesizing communesin F, allylation of a pentacyclic intermediate at the C8 position was employed for obtaining the required two carbon side chain. [6,7] However, in case of 24, allylation (with KOtBu/allyl iodide) was found to give an O-allylation product exclusively, which could not be converted into the desired product through a Claisen rearrangement as described by the Qin group.^[5] To solve this problem, a number of alkylation reagents and reaction conditions were screened. We were pleased to find that a combination of KHMDS and 2-iodoacetonitrile afforded Calkylation product 26 with 67 % yield. We discovered that the following reaction sequence could be utilized for highly efficient A-ring formation: LAH reduction of 26 delivered lactol 27, and subsequent treatment of 27 with ammonium acetate and NaBH(OAc)₃ produced aminal 28 (in 92 % yield from 26). The transformation of 27 to 28 might have proceeded through two possible cascade reaction processes (Scheme 5). The lactol ring of 27 might have first been opened to yield aldehyde 29, which would have undergone reductive amination to primary amine 30. Next, the semilactam ring of 30 may have opened to form intermediate 31 (path A; examples of similar ring openings in reduction of bridged lactams have been reported[15]), and the intramolecular condensation of 31 would have afforded imine 32. Finally, intramolecular attack of the secondary amine onto the imine moiety would have delivered aminal 28. Alternatively, direct dehydration of 30 might have afforded bridgehead iminium ion 33, which would further react with the amine moiety to furnish 28 (path B).

The completion of the syntheses of communesins A and B is outlined in Scheme 6. Acylation of **28** produced amide **33**, which was deprotected under acidic conditions, reacted with mesyl chloride, and then treated with potassium carbonate in methanol to afford communesin A. In a parallel procedure, condensation of **28** with sorbic acid under the activation of BOPCl led to the formation of amide **34**, which was subjected to a similar deprotection–mesylation–epoxidation ring-for-

Scheme 5. Reagents and conditions: a) KHMDS then ICH₂CN, THF, -78 °C to RT; b) LAH, THF; c) NH₄OAc, NaBH(OAc)₃, MeOH.

Scheme 6. Reagents and conditions: a) Ac_2O , Et_3N , DMAP, CH_2Cl_2 ; b) CF_3CO_2H , H_2O ; c) MsCl, pyridine, CH_2Cl_2 ; d) K_2CO_3 , MeOH; e) sorbic acid, BOPCl, Et_3N , CH_2Cl_2 . Ms = methanesulfonyl.

mation process as described above to furnish communesin B. The analytical data of synthetic 1 and 2 are in agreement with those reported for the natural (–)-communesins A and B, respectively.

In conclusion, we have achieved the first total syntheses of communesins A and B with the longest linear sequence for each of 24 steps from 4-bromotryptophol and overall yields of approximately 2% and 3%, respectively. The successful construction of key intermediate 11 by oxidative coupling further demonstrates the flexibility of our strategy for assembling spiro-fused indolines. Moreover, our efficient installation of the A ring in communesins A and B by reductive lactol amination sets a new precedent in the synthesis of aminal-embodied natural products. Finally, our synthetic route opens a new avenue for generating analogues of communesins with a higher formal oxidation state and will facilitate the establishment of structure–activity relationships for these marine alkaloids.

Received: September 1, 2011 Published online: October 14, 2011

Keywords: C-C coupling \cdot indole alkaloids \cdot indolines \cdot natural products \cdot total synthesis

- [1] A. Numata, C. Takahashi, Y. Ito, T. Takada, K. Kawai, Y. Usami, E. Matsumura, M. Imachi, T. Ito, T. Hasegawa, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1993, 34, 2355.
- [2] a) R. Jadulco, R. A. Edrada, R. Ebel, A. Berg, K. Schauman, V. Wray, K. Steube, P. Proksch, J. Nat. Prod. 2004, 67, 78; b) H. Hayashi, H. Matsumoto, K. Akiyama, Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem. 2004, 68, 753; c) B. Andersen, J. Smedsgaard, J. C. Frisvad, J. Agric. Food Chem. 2004, 52, 2421; d) P. W. Dalsgaard, J. W. Blunt, M. H. G. Munro, J. C. Frisvad, C. Christophersen, J. Nat. Prod. 2005, 68, 258; e) L. J. Wigley, P. G. Mantle, D. A. Perry, Phytochemistry 2006, 67, 561.
- [3] For reviews, see: a) P. Siengalewicz, T. Gaich, J. Mulzer, Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 8290; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 8170;
 b) Z. Zuo, D. Ma, Isr. J. Chem. 2011, 51, 434;
 c) D. Zhang, H. Song, Y. Qin, Acc. Chem. Res. 2011, 44, 447.
- [4] a) J. A. May, R. K. Zeidan, B. M. Stoltz, Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 1203; b) S. L. Crawley, R. L. Funk, Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 3169;
 c) J. Yang, H. Song, X. Xiao, J. Wang, Y. Qin, Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 2187; d) J. A. May, B. M. Stoltz, Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 5262;

- e) J. H. Seo, G. D. Artman III, S. M. Weinreb, *J. Org. Chem.* **2006**, *71*, 8891; f) J. H. George, R. M. Adlington, *Synlett* **2008**, 2093; g) J. H. Seo, P. Liu, S. M. Weinreb, *J. Org. Chem.* **2010**, *75*, 2667; h) F. J. Robertson, B. D. Kenimer, J. Wu, *Tetrahedron* **2011**, 67, 4327.
- [5] J. Yang, H. Wu, L. Shen, Y. Qin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 13794.
- [6] P. Liu, J. H. Seo, S. M. Weinreb, Angew. Chem. 2010, 122, 2044; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 2000.
- [7] Z. Zuo, W. Xie, D. Ma, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 13226.
- [8] For synthetic studies toward perophoramidine, a structurally related alkaloid, see: a) J. R. Fuchs, R. L. Funk, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 5068; b) A. Sabahi, A. Novikov, J. D. Rainier, Angew. Chem. 2006, 118, 4423; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 4317; c) H. Wu, F. Xue, X. Xiao, Y. Qin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 14052; d) M. A. Evans, J. R. Sacher, S. M. Weinreb, Tetrahedron 2009, 65, 6712.
- [9] For studies on intermolecular oxidative coupling between carbonyl compounds and unfunctionalized indoles and pyrroles, see: a) P. S. Baran, J. M. Richter, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 7450; b) P. S. Baran, J. M. Richter, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 15394; c) P. S. Baran, J. M. Richter, D. W. Lin, Angew. Chem. 2005, 117, 615; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 609; d) P. S. Baran, M. P. DeMartino, Angew. Chem. 2006, 118, 7241; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 7083; e) J. M. Richter, B. W. Whitefield, T. J. Maimone, D. W. Lin, M. P. Castroviejo, P. S. Baran, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 12875; f) J. M. Richter, Y. Ishihara, T. Masuda, B. W. Whitefield, T. Llamas, A. Pohjakallio, P. S. Baran, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 17938.
- [10] a) S. Krishnan, J. T. Bagdanoff, D. C. Ebner, Y. K. Ramtohul, U. K. Tambar, B. M. Stoltz, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 13745;
 b) K. Brak, J. A. Ellman, Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 2004;
 c) Z. Xu, W. Hu, Q. Liu, L. Zhang, Y. Jia, J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 7626.
- [11] O. Mitsunobu, Synthesis 1981, 1.
- [12] a) K. B. Sharpless, W. Amberg, Y. L. Bennani, G. A. Crispino, J. Hartung, K.-S. Jeong, H.-L. Kwong, K. Morikawa, Z.-M. Wang, D. Xu, X.-L. Zhang, J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 2768; b) H. C. Kolb, M. S. VanNieuwenhze, K. B. Sharpless, Chem. Rev. 1994, 94, 2483.
- [13] T. Fukuyama, C. Jow, M. Cheung, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1995, 36, 6373.
- [14] CCDC 835717 (25) contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www. ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.
- [15] a) M. Szostak, L. Yao, J. Aubé, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 2078; b) C. G. Bashore, I. J. Samardjiev, J. Bordner, J. W. Coe, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 3268.

12217